IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

MONDAY, THE 12TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 21ST KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 28831 of 2007(G)

PETITIONER:

G.S.SARIJA,
D/O.GIRIJA, RESIDING AT MANALUVILA VEEDU,
JAWAHAR JUNCTION, PARIPALLY P.O., KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.PUSHPARAJAN
SRI.O.K.MURALEEDHARAN
SRI.RAJA. P.R.S.

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **STATE OF KERALA,**
   REPRESENTED BY THE GOVT. SECRETARY,
   DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES, SECRETARIATE,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. **THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES,**
   OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR,
   CIVIL STATION, KOLLAM.

3. **THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES,**
   NEENDAKARA, KOLLAM.

4. **THE DISTRICT EMPLOYMENT OFFICER,**
   OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE,
   TALUK OFFICE JUNCTION, KOLLAM.

5. **C.SALINI,**
   THEKKEPARAMBIL, VALIYAPADOM,
   WINGTHARA P.O., SASTHAMKOTTA.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BEJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V. GIRI ,J.

WP(C).NO. 28831 of 2007

Dated this the 12th day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner was called for an interview for a post of L.D.Typist on provisional basis. Interview was conducted and ultimately 5th respondent was appointed. According to the petitioner, the principles of communal rotation were not followed in effecting provisional appointment as such. In a statement filed by the second respondent, it is noted that only one post of L.D.Typist arose. The District Employment Officer forwarded the list of 16 candidates. Practical test was conducted. Computer experience was also considered. Apparently, 5th respondent got maximum marks in the interview and she was selected.

One post was notified. Even if the principles of communal rotation was applied, the post must go to the Open Market. I do not find any illegality in the procedure adopted by the respondents in that regard. Going by the statement, a fair, reasonable and transparent selection process was conducted, and at any rate, it involved only provisional appointment. In the circumstances, I do not find any grounds to interfere in the writ petition and the same is dismissed.

V. GIRI, JUDGE

css/